

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Hinckley SRFI](#)  
**Subject:** Hinckley National Rail Freight Interchange  
**Date:** 06 January 2025 11:40:41

---

I wish to reiterate comments about the Tritex application and also address Tritex's further amendments.

This involves the concreting over of irreplaceable ancient countryside on an immense scale.

Tritex is now suggesting that 8,000 JOBS can be created on this site! Where have Tritex plucked this figure from? At the enquiry their representatives had a lot of trouble justifying their various claims then. The Chair was very good at poking holes in their claims by careful questioning. Even their maps were incorrect. Deliberately?

Have they evidence to back up this jobs figure??

This is an area with **excellent employment!!** This means that any workers will be attracted from outside the area bringing further pollution to the site and increasing traffic congestion in the area.

There is also a lot of **empty warehousing** in the area. No more needed, thank you.

By the time the site is built it is likely that DRONE TECHNOLOGY will have taken over a lot of the warehousing work there so the jobs for humans will not appear and the car parks will not be fully used.

I understand that Tritex has filed several applications in different parts of the country. Why not build in high unemployment areas where it might be welcomed?? Or brownfield sites; not farmland and precious woodland?? If we are to increase Britain's farming output, rather than be depending on imports, how will covering farmland with warehousing help?

I get the impression Tritex is using its financial power to attack in areas where tiny Parish Councils with elderly volunteers unable to cope with the pressure of the professional land grabbers, and District councils cannot afford to fight. I know that Blaby District Council have had to neglect other local applications because they are paying huge legal fees to try to protect their inhabitants and others affected by this monstrous proposal.

Tritex has tried to downplay the problems of sending more **rail traffic through Narborough. The whole village is regularly gridlocked by the passenger trains, never mind future daytime freight.**

At the enquiry Tritex said they had undertaken **traffic surveys** at Narborough crossing. It was uncovered during the enquiry that these had taken place during the school holidays and at the quietest times of the day!

If this subterfuge has been uncovered, how can the rest of the application be taken seriously?

I do hope that the Secretary of State remains firm in their decision and supports the small people and their environment.

Yours sincerely,  
Julia Pritchard